

1
2
3
4 IN RE LYFT INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION
5
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. [19-cv-02690-HSG](#)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE
PRETRIAL DISCOVERY ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 365

In May 2023, Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler denied state-plaintiff objectors' request for discovery. *See* Dkt. No. 362. State-plaintiff objectors then filed a motion for relief from Judge Beeler's non-dispositive pretrial discovery order. *See* Dkt. No. 365. A pretrial order by a magistrate judge will be reversed only if it "is clearly erroneous or contrary to law." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). The Court has carefully reviewed Judge Beeler's order, state-plaintiffs' motion, lead Plaintiff's opposition, and the relevant legal authorities. Judge Beeler's order is well-reasoned and thorough. The Court affirms the non-dispositive order because it is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." *See Grimes v. City & Cnty. of San Francisco*, 951 F.2d 236, 240 (9th Cir. 1991). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the motion for relief from Judge Beeler's non-dispositive pretrial discovery order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/31/2023


HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge